

# केंद्रीय कर आयुक्त (अपील)

## O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), CENTRAL TAX,

केंद्रीय कर भवन,

7th Floor, GST Building, Near Polytechnic,

सातवीं मंजिल, पोलिटेकनिक के पास, आम्बावाडी, अहमदाबाद-380015

Ambavadi, Ahmedabad-380015

**079-26305065** 

टेलेफैक्स : 079 - 26305136

#### रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(ST)118/Ahd-South/2018-19 Stay Appl.No. /2018-19

अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-0128-2018-19 ख दिनॉक Date: 27-12-2018 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue \_\_

श्री उमा शंकर आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित Passed by Shri. Uma Shanker, Commissioner (Appeals)

- Arising out of Order-in-Original No. STC/23/O&A/Dem/Venus/ दिनाँक: 03.07.2018 issued by Assistant Commissioner, Div-VI, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South
- अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent ध Venus Infrastructure and developers Pvt Itd Ahmedabad

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way:

भारत सरकार का पूनरीक्षण आवेदन

### Revision application to Government of India:

केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप–धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।

A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid:

यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।

In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

- In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of (b) on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो। (ग)



- भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुरुक के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।
- In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside (b) India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान कों) निर्यात किया गया माल हो। (ग)
- In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of (c)

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

- Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final (d) products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपन्न संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, (1) प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान कं सबूत कं साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए (2)और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:--Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गत:-(1)

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

चक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ—20, न्यू (क) मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद-380016

To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380 016. in case of (a) एवं सेवाटार (क्ष appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.

---3'---

K

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथारिथिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि—1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, कंन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सोमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपीलों के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

⇒ यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है .

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

(i) amount determined under Section 11 D;

(ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;

(iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."

#### **ORDER IN APPEAL**

M/s. Venus Infrastructure & Developers Pvt. Ltd., 1101, Venus Amadeus, Jodhpur Cross Roads, Satellite, Ahmedabad (hereinafter referred to as 'the appellants') have filed the present appeal against the rejection letter dated 03.07.2018 issued from file number STC/23/O&A/Dem/Venus Real/D-III/2013-14 (hereinafter referred to as 'impugned order') passed by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division-VI, Ahmedabad-South (hereinafter referred to as 'adjudicating authority').

- 2. The facts of the case, in brief, are that the appellants are engaged in providing services under the category of 'Construction of Residential Complex Service' and 'Preferential Location or External/Internal Development of Complex Service' and held Service Tax registration AACCV4635JSD001. During the course of audit, on reconciliation of income shown in the balance sheet for the financial year 2010-11 with income reflected in the ST-3 returns, difference of ₹1,17,42,690/- was noticed. After allowing deduction as abatement of 75% under Notification number 01/2006-ST dated 01.03.2006, taxable value was arrived at ₹29,35,672/- in respect of which a total Service Tax of ₹3,02,374/- was demanded vide show cause notice dated 17.10.2014. The said amount was confirmed vide O-I-O number SD-02/11/AC/2015-16 dated 28.09.2015 (hereinafter referred to as 'the said order') under Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994 along with interest under Section 75 and penalty under Sections 70 and 78 of the Finance Act, 1994. On receipt of the said order, the appellants detected some factually wrong observations in paragraph 17.3 and 17.4 of the said order. As the appellants considered those observations crucial to the arriving of the decision, they applied for rectification of mistake on the part of the adjudicating authority vide letter dated 04.04.2016. The adjudicating authority, vide the impugned order, rejected the plea of the appellants without discussing the merits of the case.
- 3. Being aggrieved with the impugned order the appellants have preferred the present appeal. They stated that the impugned order was passed in gross violation of the principles of natural justice. The appellants further stated that the decision of rejecting their application of rectification of mistake is also not correct. Accordingly, they requested to set aside the impugned order and award them any consequential relief that may arise along with.
- 4. Personal hearing in the matter was granted and held on 27.10.2018. Shri Pravin Dhandharia, Chartered Accountant, appeared before me on behalf of the appellants and reiterated the contents of appeal memo. He further

Â

claimed that the appellants were denied the opportunity of personal hearing and the impugned order was passed after 2 years.

- 5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case on records, grounds of appeal in the Appeal Memorandum and oral submissions made by the appellants at the time of personal hearing. The main issue that the appellants have tabled before me is that their application of rectification of mistake was rejected by the adjudicating authority without following the principles of natural justice i.e. without offering the appellants the opportunity of personal hearing.
- Regarding the issue that the impugned order was passed in gross 6. violation of the principles of natural justice, I consider that the Adjudication proceedings shall be conducted by observing principles of natural justice. The adjudicating authority had passed the said order playing the role of a quasi judicial authority and the appellants have every right to protest the order passed by the adjudicating authority. When the appellants have felt that the said order was carrying some factually wrong observations, they have all the right to point out the same before the adjudicating authority. When the appellants had filed an application before the adjudicating authority for rectification of mistake, the issue should have been discussed thoroughly and the adjudicating authority should have explained the appellants, with valid reasons, why he thinks the observations of the appellants are wrong. Instead, I find that the application of appellants remained unattended for nearly 2 years and when the adjudicating authority rejected the application of the appellants, the impugned order comes out to be a non-speaking one. This is a gross violation of the principles of natural justice as justice delayed is as good as justice denied and when the justice is purely a non-speaking one then it becomes pure mockery of the legal system. Natural justice has certain cardinal principles, which must be followed in every proceeding. Judicial and quasi-judicial authorities should exercise their powers fairly, reasonably and impartially in a just manner and they should not decide a matter on the basis of an enquiry unknown to the party, but should decide on the basis of material and evidence on record. Their decisions should not be biased, arbitrary or based on mere conjectures and surmises. The first and foremost principle is what is commonly known as audi alteram partem rule. It says that no one should be condemned unheard. The orders passed by the authorities should give reason for arriving at any conclusion showing proper application of mind. Violation of either of them could in the given facts and circumstances of the case, vitiate the order itself. Whenever an order is struck down as invalid being in violation of principles of natural justice, there is no final decision of the case and fresh proceedings are left upon. All that is done is to vacate the order as ailed by vistue of its inherent defect, but the of the above, I find the case is fit to proceedings are not terminated In view

be remanded back so as to enable the adjudicating authority to issue a speaking order and discussing all the issues and thus quenching the doubts raised by the appellants.

- 7. In view of the above and for the sake of justice, I remand back the case to the adjudicating authority to decide the matter afresh on the basis of my discussion in paragraph 6 above.
- 10. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपीलों का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।
- **10.** The appeal filed by the appellants stands disposed off in above terms.

3 M1 शंकर)

CENTRAL TAX (Appeals),

AHMEDABAD.

**ATTESTED** 



(S. DUTTA)

SUPERINTENDENT,

CENTRAL TAX (APPEALS), AHMEDABAD.

To,

M/s. Venus Infrastructure & Developers Pvt. Ltd., 1101, Venus Amadeus, Jodhpur Cross Roads, Satellite, Ahmedabad

### Copy to:

- 1) The Chief Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad.
- 2) The Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad (South).
- 3) The Dy./Asst. Commissioner, Central Tax, Div-VI, Ahmedabad (South).
- 4) The Asst. Commissioner (System), Central Tax, Hq., Ahmedabad (South).
- 5) Guard File.
- 6) P. A. File.